|    | Case 2:23-cv-01023-DAD-CKD Docume   | nt 10 Filed 12/08/23 Page 1 of 2                              |
|----|---|---|
| 1  |   |   |
| 2  |   |   |
| 3  |   |   |
| 4  |   |   |
| 5  |   |   |
| 6  |   |   |
| 7  |   |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |
| 10 |   |   |
| 11 | STEPHANIE LOPEZ,  | No. 2:23-cv-01023-DAD-CKD (PC)                                |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  |   |
| 13 | v.  | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING    |
| 14 | SOLANO COUNTY JUSTICE CENTER, et al.,   | ACTION DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO |
| 15 | Defendant.  | COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS                                      |
| 16 | Defendant.  | (Doc. No. 9)  |
| 17 |   |   |
| 18 | Plaintiff Stephanie Lopez is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in            |   |
| 19 | this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United |   |
| 20 | States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.                   |   |
| 21 | On October 13, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations              |   |
| 22 | recommending that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to        |   |
| 23 | prosecute this action and failure to comply with court orders. (Doc. No. 9.) In particular, on      |   |
| 24 | August 22, 2023, the court screened plaintiff's complaint, determined that plaintiff had failed to  |   |
| 25 | state a cognizable claim for relief, and directed plaintiff to file an amended complaint within     |   |
| 26 | /////   |   |
| 27 | /////   |   |
| 28 | /////   | 1   |
|    |   | 1   |

## 1 thirty days. (Doc. No. 6.) Plaintiff did not comply with, or otherwise respond to, the court's 2 August 22, 2023 order.<sup>1</sup> 3 The pending findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service.<sup>2</sup> (Doc. No. 9) 4 5 at 2.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time 6 in which to do so has long since passed. 7 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 8 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 9 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 10 Accordingly: The findings and recommendations issued on October 13, 2023 (Doc. No. 9) are 11 1. 12 adopted in full; 13 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action and failure to comply with court orders; and 14 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 15 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 Dated: **December 7, 2023** 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 <sup>1</sup> The service copy of the order dated August 22, 2023 was returned to the court and marked as 25 "Undeliverable." Thus, plaintiff was required to file a notice of her change of address with the court no later than November 30, 2023. To date, plaintiff has not filed a notice of her change of 26 address or otherwise communicated with the court. 27

Case 2:23-cv-01023-DAD-CKD Document 10 Filed 12/08/23 Page 2 of 2

<sup>2</sup> To date, the service copy of the pending findings and recommendations dated October 13, 2023

has not been returned to the court marked as undeliverable.

28

<sup>2</sup>